Physics 8 — Friday, November 8, 2019

► Turn in HW9. Pick up HW10 handout in back corner.

- I finally added summaries of key results from Onouye/Kane ch1-ch7 to the "equation sheet." I'm working on ch8, and I may do ch9 as well (though ch9 will be XC for you).
- This week, you skimmed Ch4 (load tracing) and read Ch5 (strength of materials) of Onouye/Kane. Next week, you'll read Ch6 (cross-sectional properties) and Ch7 (simple beams).

A tightly stretched "high wire" has length L = 50 m. It sags by d = 1.0 m when a tightrope walker of mass M = 51 kg stands at the center of the wire.

What is the tension in the wire? Is it possible to increase the tension in the wire so that there is no sag at all (i.e. so that d = 0)? What happens to the tension as we make the sag smaller and smaller?

A tightly stretched "high wire" has length L = 50 m. It sags by d = 1.0 m when a tightrope walker of mass M = 51 kg stands at the center of the wire.

What is the tension in the wire?

Is it possible to increase the tension in the wire so that there is no sag at all (i.e. so that d = 0)?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

What happens to the tension as we make the sag smaller and smaller?

Now suppose a 51 kg sign is suspended from a cable (but not at the center), as shown below.



How would you find the tensions T_1 and T_2 ?

Once you know T_1 and T_2 , what are the horizontal and vertical forces exerted by the two supports on the cable?



How many equations does the "method of joints" allow us to write down for this truss? (Consider how many joints the truss has.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

(A) 4 (B) 8 (C) 12 (D) 15



How many unknown internal forces (tensions or compressions) do we need to determine when we "solve" this truss?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

(A) 4 (B) 5 (C) 6 (D) 7



This is a "simply supported" truss. How many independent "reaction forces" do the two supports exert on the truss? (If there are independent horizontal and vertical components, count them as separate forces.)

(A) 2 (B) 3 (C) 4 (D) 6

Notice that 8 = 5 + 3.

For a planar truss that is stable and that you can solve using the equations of static equilibrium,

 $2N_{\rm joints} = N_{\rm bars} + 3$

You get two force equations per joint. You need to solve for one unknown tension/compression per bar plus three support "reaction" forces.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



What do we learn by writing $\sum F_x = 0$, $\sum F_y = 0$, $\sum M_z = 0$ for the truss as a whole? (Use joint **A** as pivot.) (I write R_{Ax} , R_{Ay} , R_{Cy} for the 3 "reaction forces" exerted by the supports on the truss.)

(A)
$$R_{Ay} - 2 \text{ kN} + R_{Cy} = 0,$$

 $R_{Ax} + 1 \text{ kN} = 0,$
 $-(2 \text{ kN})(2 \text{ m}) - (1 \text{ kN})(2 \text{ m}) + (R_{Cy})(2 \text{ m}) = 0$
(B) $R_{Ay} - 2 \text{ kN} + R_{Cy} = 0,$
 $R_{Ax} + 1 \text{ kN} = 0,$
 $-(2 \text{ kN})(2 \text{ m}) - (1 \text{ kN})(1 \text{ m}) + (R_{Cy})(4 \text{ m}) = 0$
(C) $R_{Ay} - 2 \text{ kN} + R_{Cy} = 0,$
 $R_{Ax} + 1 \text{ kN} = 0,$
 $-(2 \text{ kN})(2 \text{ m}) - (1 \text{ kN})(2 \text{ m}) + (R_{Cy})(4 \text{ m}) = 0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへ()~



What two equations does the "method of joints" let us write for joint **C** ?

(Let the tension in member $i \leftrightarrow j$ be T_{ij} . For compression members, we will find $T_{ij} < 0$.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- (A) $T_{CD} T_{BC} \cos \theta = 0$ $R_{Cy} - T_{BC} \sin \theta = 0$
- (B) $T_{CD} T_{BC} \sin \theta = 0$ $R_{Cy} - T_{BC} \cos \theta = 0$
- (C) $T_{CD} + T_{BC} \cos \theta = 0$ $R_{Cy} + T_{BC} \sin \theta = 0$
- (D) $T_{CD} + T_{BC} \sin \theta = 0$ $R_{Cy} + T_{BC} \cos \theta = 0$



What two equations does the "method of joints" let us write for joint **A** ?

(Let the tension in member $i \leftrightarrow j$ be T_{ij} . For compression members, we will find $T_{ij} < 0$.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

(A)
$$R_{Ax} - T_{AD} - T_{AB} \cos \theta = 0$$

 $R_{Ay} - T_{AB} \sin \theta = 0$

(B) $R_{Ax} - T_{AD} - T_{AB} \sin \theta = 0$ $R_{Ay} - T_{AB} \cos \theta = 0$

(C) $R_{Ax} + T_{AD} + T_{AB} \cos \theta = 0$ $R_{Ay} + T_{AB} \sin \theta = 0$

(D)
$$R_{Ax} + T_{AD} + T_{AB} \sin \theta = 0$$

 $R_{Ay} + T_{AB} \cos \theta = 0$



What two equations does the "method of joints" let us write for joint **D** ?

(Let the tension in member $i \leftrightarrow j$ be T_{ij} . For compression members, we will find $T_{ij} < 0$.)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

(A) $-2 \text{ kN} + T_{BD} = 0$ and $-T_{AD} + T_{CD} = 0$ (B) $-2 \text{ kN} + T_{BD} = 0$ and $-T_{AD} - T_{CD} = 0$ (C) $-2 \text{ kN} - T_{BD} = 0$ and $-T_{AD} + T_{CD} = 0$ (D) $-2 \text{ kN} - T_{BD} = 0$ and $-T_{AD} - T_{CD} = 0$



I named each member force T_{ij} (for "tension") and let $T_{ij} > 0$ mean tension and $T_{ij} < 0$ mean compression. Once you've solved the truss, it's best to draw the arrows with the correct signs for clarity. (Next page.)



Forces redrawn with arrows in correct directions, now that we know the sign of each force. Members **AB** and **BC** are in compression. All other members are in tension.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Another option is to write down all 2J equations at once and to type them into **Mathematica**, Maple, Wolfram Alpha, etc.

```
In[92] eq := {
RAx + TAB*cos + TAD == 0,
RAy + TAB*sin == 0,
-TAB*cos+TBC*cos+1 == 0,
-TBD-TAB*sin-TBC*sin == 0,
-TAD+TCD == 0.
-2 + TBD == 0,
-TCD - TBC*cos == 0,
RCy + TBC*sin == 0,
```

sin==1.0/Sqrt[5.0],
cos==2.0/Sqrt[5.0]

In[93] Solve[eq] Out[93] { RAx \rightarrow -1., RAv \rightarrow 0.75, RCy \rightarrow 1.25, TAB \rightarrow -1.67705, TAD \rightarrow 2.5, TBC \rightarrow -2.79508, TBD \rightarrow 2., TCD \rightarrow 2.5, $\cos \rightarrow 0.894427$,

 \sin ightarrow 0.447214







Do you see any joint at which there are ≤ 2 unknown forces? If so, we can start there. If not, we need to start with an EFBD for the truss as a whole.



Try to guess $R_{A,x}$, $R_{A,y}$, and $R_{D,y}$ by inspection. Then let's check with the usual equations.



Now start from a joint having ≤ 2 unknown forces. In this case, I just went through the joints alphabetically. You can make your life easier by seeking out equations having just 1 unknown.



 $T_{AB} = -0.577 \text{ kN}, T_{AE} = +0.289 \text{ kN}, T_{BE} = +0.577 \text{ kN},$ $T_{BC} = -0.577 \text{ kN}, T_{CE} = -0.577 \text{ kN}, T_{CD} = -1.732 \text{ kN},$ $T_{DE} = +0.866 \text{ kN}.$ My notation: tension > 0, compression < 0.

Compression 204 30 0.866KN 1.SKN 0.5KN

$$cos(co^{\circ} = 0.5, sin(so^{\circ} = 0.866)$$

$$TointA: O + TAE + TAB con(co^{\circ} = 0)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0.5kN + TAB sin(co^{\circ} = 0) \Rightarrow TAE = -0.577kN \\ \end{array}\right) \\ TAE = + 0.289kN \\ TAE = + 0.289kN \\ \end{array}$$

$$JointB: -TAB cos(co^{\circ} + T_{EE} cos(co^{\circ} + T_{BE} = 0)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} -TAE sin(bo^{\circ} - T_{EE} sin(co^{\circ} = 0) \Rightarrow T_{BE} = +0.577kN \\ \end{array}\right) \\ TEC = -0.577kN \\ \end{array}$$

$$Tec = -0.577kN \\ Tec = -0.577kN \\ \end{array}$$

$$Toint C: -TEC - TCE cos(co^{\circ} + TCE cos(co^{\circ} = 0) \Rightarrow TE = TCE - 1.155KM \\ COMIN do \\ COMIN do \\ -2kN - TCE sin(co^{\circ} - TCE sin(co^{\circ} = 0) \Rightarrow TE = TCE - 1.155KM \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ \end{array}$$

$$Tc = 5in(co^{\circ} = -2kN + 1.0 kN = -1kN \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ TCE = -0.577kN \\ \end{array}$$

$$Tot D : -TDE - TCE cos(co^{\circ} = 0) \Rightarrow TEE = +0.866 kN \\ (check): 1.5kN + TCE Sin(co^{\circ} = 0) \\ (check \\ TontE): -TAE + TEE - TBE cos(co^{\circ} = 0) \\ + TEE sin(co^{\circ} + TCE sin(co^{\circ} = 0) \\ \end{array}$$



Let's try drawing an EFBD for the right side of the cut ("section").

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

$$T_{gc} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ T_{gc} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{c} \end{pmatrix} \\ T_{gc} \leftarrow -\frac{1}{c} \end{pmatrix} \\ T_{fe} \leftarrow D \\ T_{fe} \leftarrow D \\ T_{fe} \leftarrow D \\ 1.5 \text{ kD} \\ 2 F_{x} = 0 \Rightarrow -T_{gc} - T_{ce} \cos 60^{\circ} - T_{fe} = 0 \\ 2 F_{y} = 0 \Rightarrow -T_{gc} - T_{ce} \cos 60^{\circ} - T_{fe} = 0 \\ 2 F_{g} = 0 \Rightarrow -2 \text{ kN} + 1.5 \text{ kN} - T_{ce} \sin 60^{\circ} = 0 \\ 2 M_{c} = 0 \Rightarrow + (1.5 \text{ kN}) (\frac{1}{c}) - T_{fe} \text{ Lish} \delta^{\circ} = 0 \\ 1 T_{fe} = \frac{1.5 \text{ kN}}{2 \sin 60^{\circ}} = + 0.866 \text{ kN} \\ 1 T_{ce} = -0.5 \text{ kN} \\ 1 T_{gc} = -(T_{ce} \cos 6^{\circ} + T_{fe}) = -0.577 \\ 1 T_{gc} = -(T_{ce} \cos 6^{\circ} + T_{fe}) = -0.577 \\ 1 T_{gc} = -(T_{ce} \cos 6^{\circ} + T_{fe}) = -0.577 \\ 1 T_{gc} = -(T_{ce} \cos 6^{\circ} + T_{fe}) = -0.577 \\ 1 T_{gc} = -(T_{gc} \cos 6^{\circ} + T_{fe}) = -0.577 \\ 1 T_{gc} = -0.577$$

ヨー つへで





Here's another truss problem that you can solve using the Method of Sections. Find forces in members **CE**, **CF**, and **DF**, with assumed force directions as shown.

- What happens if an assumed force direction is backwards?
- Where should we "section" the truss?
- Then what do we do next?

2KN IKN $ZF_{r} = 0 = -CE - CF \cos(95^\circ + DF) = 0$ ZE = = CESINYS = SKN => F=3KNVZ =0 = CE(1) - (1kn)(2) - (2kn)(3)CE = 8KN $(E + CF \cos 45^\circ = 8kN + 3kN$ DF= IKN

2.38 An inclined king-post truss supports a vertical and horizontal force at *C*. Determine the support reactions developed at *A* and *B*.



This is not really a "truss problem," since we're not asked to solve for the internal forces in the truss, but it is an example of a pretty tricky equilibrium problem.

Let's try working through this together in class.

Notice, from the given dimensions: the angle of the incline is the same as the interior angle at joints A and B of the truss. Also notice pin/hinge support at A and roller support at B.



 $\bigcirc O = \sum F_x = R_{Ax} + |kN - R_B sin \Theta$ > RAX = RBSing-IKN => RAy = 1KN-RBCOSO $\exists 0 = \sum_{n} M_{A} = R_{B}(12m) - 1kN(12ms)n\theta) - 1kN(6.5mcos2\theta)$ \Rightarrow RB = 1KN(sino) + $\frac{6.5}{12}$ KN(cos20) = 0.7660 KN $(R_B)_{\chi} = -R_B sing = -0.2946 kN$ $(R_{\rm B})_{\rm y} = R_{\rm B}\cos\theta = 0.7071 \, \rm kN$ $R_{Ax} = (0.2946 - 1) KN = -0.7054 KN$ RAY = (1-0.7071) KN = 0.2929 KN ° 90



| ◆ □ ▶ ★ □ ▶ ★ 三 ▶ ★ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ○ ○ ○ ○



The idea of computing centroids of simple and composite shapes is very, very briefly introduced in O/K ch3 (in the context of "distributed loads"), and will be discussed in much more detail in O/K ch6 (for Monday).

Let's go through one example using rectangles and triangles. It will help you in cases when you need to solve for the "reaction forces" on a beam that carries distributed loads. (Example coming up next.)





What are the areas of the three individual polygons?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○



What are the $Y_{\rm centroid}$ values of the three individual polygons?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

What is Y_{centroid} for the whole shaded area?



▲□▶ ▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

There's one problem similar to this (but using metric units) on HW(?): Determine the support reactions at A and B.



▲□▶▲□▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 のへ⊙

This one is harder than your homework, because the distributed load is non-uniform: Determine the support reactions at A and B.



Physics 8 — Friday, November 8, 2019

▶ Turn in HW9. Pick up HW10 handout in back corner.

- I finally added summaries of key results from Onouye/Kane ch1-ch7 to the "equation sheet." I'm working on ch8, and I may do ch9 as well (though ch9 will be XC for you).
- This week, you skimmed Ch4 (load tracing) and read Ch5 (strength of materials) of Onouye/Kane. Next week, you'll read Ch6 (cross-sectional properties) and Ch7 (simple beams).